



Unified Authorization Maturity Model

Version 1.0

Last updated May 24, 2024



Unified Authorization

All of the following are authorization questions:

- Can Sally withdraw \$5,000 from account 058201?
- What actions can Javier do on an escalated ticket?
- During what hours can badge #2541 access the store room?

In legacy organizations, each of these scenarios uses a unique authorization mechanism. Trying to manage authorization across so many disparate systems hinders visibility, compliance, and the ability to adhere to security best practices.

Modern organizations are evolving towards a **unified authorization model**, where the same authorization system can be used across multiple applications, services, and technology stacks.

Unified Authorization Maturity Model

This **maturity model** describes the characteristics of organizations along their journey toward unified authorization, based on experiences working with the Fortune 100

Organizations should regularly conduct a mapping exercise against this maturity model to audit their capabilities and point them towards their next steps

Mapping Exercise Instructions

1. Gather relevant stakeholders across functions — for example, IAM, security, platform engineering, application architects
2. For each category, check the boxes next to each capability satisfied by your current authorization system
3. Pick 3 categories to improve and identify
4. Repeat the modeling process quarterly

Policies and Data

Unified Authorization Maturity Model

Area	Level 0 Novice	Level 1 Beginner	Level 2 Proficient	Level 3 Expert
Policy Location	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❑ Authorization behavior is built into application code ❑ Permissions are stored in application databases 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❑ Authorization behavior is tightly coupled to user management and identity management systems ❑ Authorization data is stored in an IAM system 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❑ Policy is externalized ❑ Policy is stored in centralized locations ❑ Policy is version controlled 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❑ Policy helpers / building blocks are centralized ❑ Application policy is decentralized according to organizational lines, e.g. HR application policy and finance application policies separated ❑ Authorization data is version controlled
Policy Complexity	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❑ Authorization is user-specific 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❑ Role-based access control 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❑ Attribute-based access control with broad permissions ❑ Static external data ❑ Request-specific data ❑ Ands/Ors and other conjunctions of policy clauses 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❑ Attribute-based access control with fine-grained permissions ❑ Relationship-based access control ❑ External service data ❑ Real-time data
Policy Enforcement	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❑ Applications internally decide when and how to enforce authorization 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❑ Backend applications 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❑ Backend applications ❑ Service-to-service (E/W traffic) ❑ API Gateway (N/S traffic) 	<p><i>All layers, zero trust</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❑ Database ❑ Frontend
Data Architecture	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❑ Data is not externalized 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❑ Every authorization request calls external data systems 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❑ All data is duplicated in every potentially needed location 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❑ Data architecture is optimized for specific needs ❑ Data gravity is considered

Governance

Unified Authorization Maturity Model

Area	Level 0 Novice	Level 1 Beginner	Level 2 Proficient	Level 3 Expert
Policy Flexibility and Reuse		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Individual application based policies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Some organizational policies defined Organizational policies are turned on/off for individual applications 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Mostly organizational policies with the capabilities for fine tuning in the application Organizationally mandated policies override individual application policies
Policy Content and Standardization		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Helper libraries are extracted for re-use across policies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Idiomatic policy contents and structure are organizationally defined Enforce that certain libraries can only be used by specific applications 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Standard policy structure is enforced with exceptions Self-service discovery of organizationally approved policies and libraries during authoring
Policy Change	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Permissions can be updated in production 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Changes to authorization data must go through internal change management processes Non-code based change management 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policies can be copied from development to staging to production Test data is separated from production data 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Policy artifacts are fingerprinted Policy artifacts must be directly promoted from development to staging to production
People	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Central IAM team is a function in HR organization 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Central IAM team is a function in a Security organization 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Authorization is a co-investment between engineering and security Central authorization team responsible for most policy authoring and management 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Central authorization team acts as helper, guide and coach Application development teams author and manage policies Business users able to create meaningful policy

Security and Auditability

Unified Authorization Maturity Model

Area	Level 0 Novice	Level 1 Beginner	Level 2 Proficient	Level 3 Expert
Policy Testing and Assurance	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❑ Application code integration testing 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❑ IAM system governance ❑ Periodic reporting and auditing of roles and permissions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❑ Policies are covered by unit tests ❑ Policy unit tests are run on every check-in 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❑ Policy drafts are tested against historical decisions ❑ Policy drafts are compared against live traffic ❑ Least privilege / Separation of Duties analysis ❑ Right-sized permissions analysis
Privileged Access Management and Escalation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❑ Modify permissions in production application database 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❑ Assign and unassign privileged role 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❑ Exceptions based policies 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❑ Exceptions based policies with separation of duties enforcement
Observability	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❑ Monitor application API responses for 4xx status codes 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❑ Authorization decision logs integrated into an observability platform 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❑ Anomaly detection, e.g. spikes in requests or errors
Auditability	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❑ Applications do not generate audit trails of authorization decisions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❑ Rely on IGA tools 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❑ Access log records saved to an external log management system ❑ Ad-hoc audit reporting from external management system 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❑ Constant monitoring of audit-triggering behavior ❑ Changes to policies generate audit logs

Additional Resources

- [Knowledge Hub - Styra](#)
- [Authorization Resources](#)
- [2023 State of Policy as Code Report](#)